

The Rules for Reviewing Faculty Promotion in National Sun Yat-sen University Center for Teacher Education Faculty Promotion

Passed by the 6th Center Conference on Dec 17th, 2007

Passed by the 6th Teacher Evaluation Conference of College of
Social Science on Dec 25th, 2007

Edited Passed by the 6th Center Conference on Feb 13th, 2014

Edited Passed by the 6th Teacher Evaluation Conference of
College of Social Science on Feb 25th, 2014

Implementation approved by the president on Mar 26th, 2014

Edited Passed by the 6th Center Conference of The Center for
Teacher Education on Apr 13th, 2017

Edited Passed by the 7th Teacher Evaluation Conference of
College of Social Science on May 23th, 2017

Implementation approved by the president on Jun 29th, 2017

Edited Passed by the 1th Center Conference of The Center for
Teacher Education on Aug 30th, 2018

Edited Passed by the 1th Teacher Evaluation Conference of
College of Social Science on Sep 25th, 2018

Implementation approved by the president on Oct 25th, 2018

- Act. 1: Set the rule for processing the faculty promotion in Center for Teacher Education, according to "The Rules for Reviewing Faculty and Research Personnel Promotion" of National Sun Yat-sen University and "The Rules for Reviewing Faculty Promotion" of College of Social Science, and other related rules.
- Act. 2: Teachers who are consistent with the rules for reviewing the faculty promotion should apply for promotion to The Teacher Evaluation Committee of the center within the scheduled time.
- Act. 3: The application for faculty promotion by teachers of the center should be consistent with "The Rules for Reviewing Faculty and Research Personnel Promotion" Art. 2, Art. 3 and "The Rules for Reviewing Faculty Promotion" Art. 3.
- Act. 4: The applicants can present their own researchs or **research** results by their academic works or technical reports written before the promotion for the submission of the teacher qualifications, and the number of submitted documents can be at most 10. The applicants should choose one of the submitted documents as representative writings, and the others as reference writings, which belonged to the series of the related research should be combined to representative writings. The applicants should cooperate with procedures of reviewing promotion and hand in related proven documents.
- Act. 5: According to "The Rules for Reviewing Faculty and Research Personnel Promotion" Art. 4 **and "The Rules for Reviewing Faculty Promotion" Art. 5, the reviewing of faculty promotion includes research, educational performance and service grade. The scoring ratio is as following:**
1. Academic Research: Academic research accounts for 70% of total, and educational performance accounts for 20% of total, and service grade accounts for 10% of total.
 2. Educational Research: Educational Research accounts for 50% of total, and educational performance accounts for 40% of total, and service grade accounts for 10% of total.
 3. The total score should be more than (or equal to) 70 scores. The above rules of scoring is according to "The Scoring Rules for Reviewing Faculty Promotion".

Act. 6 The Application of the faculty promotion should be sent to the teacher evaluation committee of the college for second review after first review is passed by the teacher evaluation committee of the center. After second review is passed, the application will be sent to the teacher evaluation committee of the university for final review.

The procedures of first review and second review, recommendation of document reviewing judges, and the procedures of decision are according to "The Rules for Reviewing Faculty and Research Personnel Promotion".

Act. 7 When the teacher evaluation committee of the center processs the first review of the faculty promotion, the conference should start as there are at least two-thirds of members of the committee participating, and the committee should invite related staffs to provide written additional information or participating introduction.

If the result of first review need to be voted, the voting is taken secret ballot , and the review is passed if at least two-thirds of participating members agree the reviewing.

Act. 8 The applicants should be given the rejecting reason and reviewing judge's opinions if the application of the faculty promotion is not passed in the first review.

If applicants can't accept the result of first review, they should apply for appeal according to "The Rules for Reviewing Faculty and Research Personnel Promotion", or they can apply for appeal to the committee of teacher grievances according to "The Organazing and Council Guidelines of The Committee of Teacher Grievances".

Act. 9 If there's no instruction in the rule, you should accord to "The Rule of Reviewing Teacher Qualification in college degree or above", "The Rules for Reviewing Faculty and Research Personnel Promotion", "The Rules for Reviewing Faculty Promotion", and related regulations.

Act. 10 The rules has been passed by the center conference, sent to and passed by the The Teacher Evaluation Committee of the College, and implemented after the principal approved the rule; same as the rule edited.